Comment Set C.70: Juan Alonso

Juan Alonso

12625 Sierra Hwy. Saugus, CA 91390 Telephone (661) 251-4315 Fax (661) 298-2080

September 12, 2006

California Public Utilities Commission antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com

Re: Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

To Whom It May Concern:

I am extremely upset to hear that some meetings regarding above referenced have been conducted and other meetings are proposed but no notice was given to the property owners who will be impacted by this project. It will basically condemn properties controlled by me through the 3 A's Investment Real Estate Development, LLC and Alfa Construction and Investments. This consists of approximately 8 different parcels that are directly under the proposed power lines or next to it. I firmly oppose the alternate route through private property in Agua Dulce due to the visual impact that this will create, the noise factor, the possibility of health effects and the overall well-being and degradation of property values. I do not understand the position of the Forest Service and their objections going through Forest Service lands where the impact will be minimal and will be less costly and will be the most direct way. I don't think the few coyotes living in the shrub lands will mind. If this project insists to go through private lands with such an impact to the neighbors, we will be forced to join hands and hire proper counsel to litigate.

C.70-1

C.70-2

C.70-3

Very Truly Yours,

Juan Alonso

Cc: Paul Novack – pnovack@lacbos.org Agua Dulce Town Council

John Boccio – jbx@cpuc.ca.gov

Response to Comment Set C.70: Juan Alonso

- C.70-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding the noticing procedures for an EIR/EIS, such as those conducted for this project. In addition, please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values and General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.
- C.70-2 The impacts to visual resources and noise as a result of Alternative 5 have been discussed in the EIR/EIS Sections C.15.10 and C.10.10, respectively. Potential health risks associated with the Project are discussed in Section C.6 (Public Health and Safety) of the Draft EIR/EIS. As mentioned above, please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.
- C.70-3 Thank you for expressing your concerns regarding Alternative 5. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.